## MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS WESTERN DISTRICT

COMPLETE TITLE OF CASE:	
KATHY (KELLER) KAY,	
v.	Respondent
JOHN B. KELLER II.	A 11
	Appellant
DOCKET NUMBER WD78235	
DATE: JUNE 2, 2015	
Appeal From:	
Circuit Court of Cole County, MO The Honorable Patricia S. Joyce, Judge	
Appellate Judges:	
Division Three Mark D. Pfeiffer, PJ., Gary D. Witt, Anthony Rex Gabbert, JJ	
Attorneys:	
Sara Catherine Michael, Jefferson City, MO,	Counsel for Respondent
Attorneys:	
Carla Grace Holste, Jefferson City, MO	Counsel for Appellant

## MISSOURI APPELLATE COURT OPINION SUMMARY MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS, WESTERN DISTRICT

KATHY (KELLER) KAY,

Respondent,

v.

JOHN B. KELLER II,

Appellant.

WD78235 Cole County

Before Division Three Judges: Mark D. Pfeiffer, PJ., Gary D. Witt, Anthony Rex Gabbert, JJ

John B. Keller, II ("Father") appeals the circuit court's grant of summary judgment in favor of Kathy Kay ("Mother") on Father's motion to modify child support. Father contends that the circuit court erred: (1) in granting summary judgment because Mother was not entitled to judgment as a matter of law because Father is not required to ask the court for continued support prior to Son reaching the age of eighteen; (2) in granting summary judgment because there were disputed material facts as to Son's incapacity and ability to support himself; (3) in finding Son emanicipated because there was overwhelming evidence that Son was unmarried, mentally incapacitated, and insolvent, and; (4) in finding Son emancipated because Mother failed to meet her burden of proving emancipation.

## REVERSE AND REMAND

## **Division Three holds:**

- (1) The circuit court erred in granting summary judgment to Mother on the grounds that Son was emancipated for not having enrolled full-time in a post-secondary educational institution pursuant to Section 452.340.5 without considering Father's claims that Son was in need of continued support past the age of eighteen due to being mentally incapacitated, insolvent, and unmarried pursuant to Section 452.340.4.
- (2) The circuit court erred in granting summary judgment to Mother in that genuine disputes of material fact are evident on the face of the record and Mother was not entitled to judgment as a matter of law.
- (3) As we reverse on other grounds, we need not address Father's claim that overwhelming evidence supported that Son was unmarried, mentally incapacitated, and insolvent.
- (4) As we reverse on other grounds, we need not address Father's claim that Mother failed to meet her burden of proving emancipation.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Date: 6/02/15

THIS SUMMARY IS UNOFFICIAL AND SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.